ISSN 1600-5368

Jin-Xiao Mi,^a* Cheng-Xin Wang,^a Zan-Bin Wei,^b Fu-Jin Chen,^a Chun-Yan Xu^a and Shao-Yu Mao^b

^aDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, Fujian Province, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, Fujian Province, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: jxmi@jingxian.xmu.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean $\sigma(P-O) = 0.002 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.023 wR factor = 0.064 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

$K_2Fe[H(HPO_4)_2]F_2$

The crystal structure of dipotassium iron(III) {hydrogen bis[hydrogenphosphate(V)]} difluoride, $K_2Fe[H(HPO_4)_2]F_2$, obtained by hydrothermal synthesis, was determined from single-crystal X-ray diffraction data. The structure is characterized by K^+ cations and hydrogen-bonded { $[Fe[H(HPO_4)_2]F_2]^{2-}$ } chains, which consist of centrosymmetric $Fe[F_2O_4]$ octahedra linked to their four neighbouring phosphate tetrahedra *via* common O corners, developing a three-dimensional structure.

Comment

Compounds with open framework structures, including iron phosphates such as cacoxenite (Moore & Shen, 1983), have attracted great interest due to their potential applications (Cheetham *et al.*, 1999). In the anhydrous potassium iron fluorophosphate system, only two compounds have previously been reported, namely KFe(PO₄)F (Matvienko *et al.*, 1979) and K₂Fe₂(P₂O₇)F₂ (Yakubovich *et al.*, 1984). To our knowledge, the title compound, (I), reported here is the first potassium iron fluoro-hydrogenphosphate.

A disordered H-atom position in the structure was clearly shown in the difference Fourier peaks after full-matrix leastsquares refinement including non-H anisotropic displacement parameters. If an H atom had occupied the special position 2c $(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ for a symmetric arrangement, the O-H bond distance would have been about 1.20 Å. Finally, atom H2 was located and fixed at the position of the difference Fourier peak in a disordered fashion, as described for Li₂Fe[(PO₄)(HPO₄)] (Mi *et al.*, 2004). This gives a reliable value of $U_{iso}(H2) =$ 0.023 (16) Å² with 50% occupancy.



© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Received 21 June 2005 Accepted 28 June 2005 Online 6 July 2005



Figure 2



Four O and two F atoms bond to the central Fe atom, which lies on an inversion centre forming an octahedral coordination, with four long equatorial Fe-O bonds and two slightly shorter Fe-F bonds in *trans* positions (Table 1). According to the bond-valence sum calculation for Fe (BVS = 3.16; Brese & O'Keeffe, 1991), it was confirmed that the Fe ions have an oxidation state of 3+ in the title compound.

Each phosphate tetrahedron is connected to two Fe[F₂O₄] octahedra, sharing its two O vertices that are not bonded to H, and each Fe[F₂O₄] octahedron is linked to phosphate units through all four of its O vertices, to form a chain along the *a* axis (Fig. 1). The structure is completed by K⁺ cations and hydrogen bonds, which link the {[Fe[H(HPO₄)₂]F₂]²⁻}_n chains into a three-dimensional structure. The large K⁺ cation is seven-coordinate with respect to five nearby O and two F atoms. One additional outer O atom has a K–O bond distance of 3.236 Å (Fig. 2).

Experimental

The title compound was synthesized hydrothermally from a typical mixture of Fe₂O₃ (0.399 g), KH₂PO₄ (1.360 g), K(OH) (0.561 g) and 60% HF (1.5 ml) in the molar ratio of Fe:P:K = 1:2:4. The mixture was dissolved in distilled water (4 ml) and heated at 403 K for 4 d under autogenous pressure in a 20 ml Teflon-lined autoclave filled to 40% capacity. All starting materials were analytical grade and used without further purification. Pale-pink transparent crystals of (I) were obtained in ca 67% yield (based on Fe) and could be easily separated from a dark roseate powder (unreacted Fe₂O₃). The X-ray powder diffraction pattern of manually selected crystals agreed well with that calculated from the single-crystal data. The chemical composition was confirmed by a chemical semi-quantitative energydispersive X-ray diffraction analysis (Oxford Instruments). The FT-IR spectrum was recorded for the sample (2 mg dispersed in 200 mg KBr) in the ranges 4000–400 cm⁻¹ using a Nicolet AVATAR 360 ESP spectrometer; IR $(\nu, \text{ cm}^{-1})$: 3018 (m), 1419 (m), 1177 (s), 1106 (s), 1027 (s), 942 (m), 865 (m), 797 (m), 589 (m), 517 (m), 450 (m).

Crystal data

$K_2Fe[H(HPO_4)_2]F_2$
$M_r = 365.01$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
a = 4.7586 (10) Å
b = 8.2530 (17)Å
c = 10.758 (2) Å
$\beta = 92.845 \ (2)^{\circ}$
$V = 421.97 (15) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 2

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.417, T_{max} = 0.783$ 4590 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0241P)^2]$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$ + 0.5078P] $wR(F^2) = 0.064$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ S = 1.27 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.33 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 1012 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 73 parameters Only H-atom displacement Extinction correction: SHELXL97 parameters refined (Sheldrick, 1997) Extinction coefficient: 0.035 (3)

 $D_x = 2.873 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo *K* α radiation

 $\theta = 3 - 28.3^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 3.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 295 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.022$

 $\begin{array}{l} \theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^{\circ} \\ h = -6 \rightarrow 6 \end{array}$

 $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$

 $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

Prism, pale pink $0.33 \times 0.26 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

Cell parameters from 4590 reflections

1012 independent reflections 1011 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 Table 1

 Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Fe1-F1 ⁱ	1.9208 (12)	K1-O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.6900 (16)
Fe1-F1 ⁱⁱ	1.9208 (12)	$K1-F1^{vi}$	2.6957 (15)
Fe1–O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	1.9867 (15)	$K1-F1^{i}$	2.6984 (14)
Fe1–O2 ^{iv}	1.9867 (15)	$K1 - O3^{vi}$	2.7711 (17)
Fe1–O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	1.9942 (15)	K1-O3 ^{vii}	2.7785 (18)
Fe1-O1 ^{iv}	1.9942 (15)	K1-O1 ^{vii}	2.7963 (16)
$P1-O1^{v}$	1.4970 (15)	$K1 - O4^{iii}$	2.8156 (18)
P1-O2	1.4974 (15)	$K1 - O4^{vi}$	3.2362 (19)
P1-O3	1.5265 (16)	O3-H2	0.8722
$P1-O4^{v}$	1.5748 (16)	O4-H1	0.8521
F1 ⁱ -Fe1-F1 ⁱⁱ	180	O1 ^v -P1-O3	110.90 (9)
F1 ⁱ -Fe1-O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	88.03 (6)	O2-P1-O3	109.27 (9)
F1 ⁱⁱ -Fe1-O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	91.97 (6)	$O1^{v} - P1 - O4^{v}$	104.82 (9)
O2 ⁱⁱⁱ -Fe1-O2 ^{iv}	180	$O2-P1-O4^{v}$	108.30 (9)
F1 ⁱ -Fe1-O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	91.23 (6)	$O3-P1-O4^{v}$	107.37 (10)
F1 ⁱⁱ -Fe1-O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	88.77 (6)	P1 ^{viii} -O1-Fe1 ^{ix}	136.70 (10)
O2 ⁱⁱⁱ -Fe1-O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	90.52 (6)	P1-O2-Fe1 ^{ix}	134.28 (10)
O2 ^{iv} -Fe1-O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	89.48 (6)	P1-O3-H2	122.27 (14)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ -Fe1-O1 ^{iv}	180	P1 ^{viii} -O4-H1	113.31 (14)
$O1^{v}-P1-O2$	115.76 (9)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (ii) x, y, z - 1; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv) x, y - 1, z - 1; (v) x + 1, y, z; (vi) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (vii) $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (viii) x - 1, y, z; (ix) x, y + 1, z + 1.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$04-H1\cdots F1^{x}$	0.85	1.75	2.589 (2)	168
$03-H2\cdots O3^{xi}$	0.87	1.53	2.400 (2)	172

Symmetry codes: (x) -x, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{3}{2}$; (xi) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 2.

H-atom coordinates are located and fixed at the positions of the difference Fourier peaks and only their displacement parameters were refined.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This project was supported by funds from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 40472027), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (grant No. E0410003) and the project sponsored by the SRF for ROCS, SEM, in China.

References

- Brandenburg, K. (2001). *DIAMOND*. Release 2.1e. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Brese, N. E. & O'Keeffe, M. (1991). Acta Cryst. B47, 192-197.
- Bruker (2001). SAINT (Version 6.22) and SMART (Version 5.625). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cheetham, A. K., Ferey, G. C. & Loiseau, T. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38, 3268–3292.
- Matvienko, E. N., Yakubovich, O. V., Simonov, M. A. & Belov, N. V. (1979). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 246, 875–878.
- Mi, J.-X., Borrmann, H., Zhang, H., Huang, Y.-X., Schnelle, W., Zhao, J.-T. & Kniep, R. (2004). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 630, 1632–1636.
- Moore, P. B. & Shen, J.-C. (1983). Nature (London), 306, 356-358.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Yakubovich, O. V., Evdokimova, O. A. & Mel'nikov, O. K. (1984). Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 274, 625–630.